

United States Patent and Trademark Office

th

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.			
10/769,900	02/03/2004	Meng-An Pan	58268.00349	9190			
32294 75	32294 7590 10/20/2005			EXAMINER			
• '	NDERS & DEMPSEY L	TRA, ANH QUAN					
14TH FLOOR 8000 TOWERS	CRESCENT	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER				
TYSONS CORNER, VA 22182			2816				
			DATE MAILED: 10/20/200	5			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Application No.		Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary			10/769,900		PAN, MENG-AN				
			Examiner		Art Unit				
	·		Quan Tra		2816				
The	MAILING DATE of this commun			sheet with the co		ldress			
Period for Re	ply	••			•				
THE MAIL - Extensions of after SIX (6) - If the period - If NO period - Failure to re Any reply re	ENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR ING DATE OF THIS COMMUNI of time may be available under the provisions MONTHS from the mailing date of this comm for reply specified above is less than thirty (3for reply is specified above, the maximum staply within the set or extended period for reply ceived by the Office later than three months and term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(nunication. 0) days, a reply wi atutory period will will, by statute, ca	(a). In no event, howe within the statutory mini apply and will expire Sause the application to	ver, may a reply be time mum of thirty (30) days SIX (6) MONTHS from t become ABANDONED	ely filed will be considered time! he mailing date of this co	y. ommunication.			
Status									
1)⊠ Resp	oonsive to communication(s) file	d on <u>29 <i>July</i></u>	<u>/ 2005</u> .						
2a) This	☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)☑ This action is non-final.								
3)☐ Sinc) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is								
close	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition o	f Claims								
4)⊠ Clair	m(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are pending in the a	pplication.							
4a) C	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
	n(s) is/are allowed.								
	n(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are rejected.								
	m(s) is/are objected to.								
8)∐ Clair	n(s) are subject to restric	tion and/or e	election requirer	nent.					
Application P	apers								
9)□ The s	pecification is objected to by the	e Examiner.							
10)□ The c	0)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11)∟_ The c	oath or declaration is objected to	by the Exar	miner. Note the	attached Office	Action or form PT	O-152.			
Priority under	35 U.S.C. § 119								
a)□ AII 1.□ 2.□	Certified copies of the priority of Copies of the certified copies of	documents h documents h of the priority	have been recei nave been recei y documents ha	ved. ved in Application	n No	Stage			
* See th	application from the Internation e attached detailed Office action		•		1				
Gee ui	e altached detailed Office action	rioi a list oi	the certified co	pies not received	1.				
Attachment(s)									
	ferences Cited (PTO-892) aftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P1	TO-049)	4) 🔲 [nterview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Dat	PTO-413)				
	Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or I		5) 🔲 ۱		e tent Application (PTC	9-152)			

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 08/17/05 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1, 2, 4-10 and 12-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Simon (US 2004/0174199).

As to claim 1, Simon discloses in figure 3 a method, comprising: receiving an input current (output of 22) from a digital to analog converter (circuit that comprises DAC 19, amplifiers 20, transistors 22 and resistor 24 is a digital to analog converter which converts digital signal to analog current); mirroring the input current (by 11, 12, 29, 30); converting the received input current to a voltage (by 11); filtering the voltage (by 29, 30); and converting the filtered voltage into an output current (by 12) using the mirrored input current.

As to claim 2, figure 3 shows that the step of filtering is performed by a low pass filter. As to claim 4, figure 3 shows the step of outputting the output current to a mixer (2-6). Application/Control Number: 10/769,900

Art Unit: 2816

As to claim 5, figure 3 shows that the step of converting the received input voltage and converting the filtered voltage are performed by a first and second MOSFET (11, 12), respectively.

As to claim 6, figure 3 shows that the second MOSFET is the inverse of the first.

As to claim 7, figure 3 shows that the filtering filters out clocking glitches and quantization noise.

As to claim 8, figure 3 shows that the filtering yields a DC gain of one.

Claims 9, 10 and 12-17 recite similar limitations of claims above. Therefore, they are rejected for the same reasons.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chiba et al. (US 2003/0146780) in view of Simon (US 2004/0174199).

As to claims 1, 2, 4-10 and 12-17, Chiba et al.'s figure 2 shows a DAC 103b, a current mirror (135, 136) receiving current from the DCA and a mixer (133, 132) receiving current from the current mirror. Thus, figure 2 shows all limitations of the claims except for a filter circuit coupled between transistors in the current mirror. However, Simon's figure 3 shows a multiplier circuit having low-pass filter (29, 30) coupled between transistors (11 and 12) in current mirror circuit in order to improve the noise properties of the multiplier (paragraph [0039]). Therefore, it

Application/Control Number: 10/769,900

Art Unit: 2816

would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to employ Simom's teaching for Chiba et al.'s figure 2 for the purpose of improving the noise properties of the circuit.

As to claim 3 and 11, the modified Chiba et al.'s figure 2 all limitations of the claims except for the filter is a third order filter. However, it is notoriously well known in the art that a higher order, the better the filter performs. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to use a third order filter for the Simon's low pass filter in order to improve the performance of the circuit.

5. Claims 3 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Simon (US 2004/0174199).

Simon's figure 3 shows all limitations of the claims except for the filter is a third order filter. However, it is notoriously well known in the art that a higher order, the better the filter performs. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to use a third order filter for the Simon's low pass filter in order to improve the performance of the circuit.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Quan Tra whose telephone number is 571-272-1755. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 A.M.-5:00 P.M..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Timothy Callahan can be reached on 571-272-1740. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/769,900

Art Unit: 2816

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

QUAN TRA PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 2816 Page 5

October 19, 2005